# TM STUDY No. 09-030-1

## CONDUCTED FOR:

The Magic Touch Benzstrasse 17 64807 Dieburg Germany

BY:

Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc. 33 West Chicago Avenue Oak Park, IL 60302

## STUDY PERFORMED:

FHSA/CPSC Design, 16 CFR 1500 Primary Eye Irritation Study

> COMPOUND: Tattoo 2.1 Decals

Final Report Date:

April 20, 2009

Study Director:

Michael Kukulinski, B.S., L.A.T.G.

Quality Assurance Unit:

Robert F. Locke, M.S., L.A.T.G.

FINAL REPORT TM STUDY No. 09-030-1 PAGE 2 OF 8 PAGES

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
QUA S	TATEMENT	3
SUMM	ARY	4
L	Method	5
II.	Results & Conclusion	6
	Table 1: Scale for Scoring on Ocular Lesions	7
	Table 2: Individual Eye Irritation Scores	8

# Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc. 33 West Chicago Avenue Oak Park, Illinois 60302

## FINAL REPORT -- QAU STATEMENT

# STUDY TITLE: FHSA/CPSC Primary Eye Irritation Study

The Quality Assurance Unit monitored the testing and reporting of this study. The Quality Assurance Unit reviewed protocols and inspected the data to assure the accuracy and integrity of the study. All reviews of data were reported to the study director and all data from this study will be returned and stored at the testing facility.

Final Report QAU Audit Completed:	April 20, 2009
Robert F. Locke, M.S., L.A.T.G. QAU Monitor	
Michael Kukulinski, B.S., L.A.T.G. Study Director	4/20/09 Date

FINAL REPORT TM STUDY No. 09-030-1 PAGE 4 OF 8 PAGES

## SUMMARY

The Magic Touch sample identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals was tested for eye irritation in accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines. The test material was cut into 1" squares. 10 grams of test article and 10 grams of distilled water were placed into an extraction flask and heated for 24 hours @ 100°F in a constant temperature oven. The liquid was cooled and used for dosing.

The Magic Touch sample extract was administered into one eye of each of six albino rabbits. The eyes were observed and scored at 24, 48, and 72 hours.

Instillation of sample extract into the eyes of rabbits produced minimal eye irritation reactions in three of the test animals. In accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines, The Magic Touch test material identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals would not be considered an eye irritant.

### I. Method

## **Test Material**

The test material was identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals.

## **Animals and Husbandry**

New Zealand White Rabbits<sup>1</sup> ten to twelve weeks old were used for this study. The rabbits were obtained from Kuiper Rabbitry, Gary, Indiana.

The rabbits were individually housed in stainless steel cages in a temperature, humidity, and light controlled room. The rabbits were maintained according to the recommendations contained in the National Academy Press 1996: "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals". They were acclimated for at least 4 days prior to study initiation. Purina Rabbit chow and water were available ad libitum. All animals used for this study were considered to be in good health at the study initiation.

## **Treatment Levels and Number of Animals**

Six rabbits were selected and individually identified with ear tags. Animals were dosed by instilling 0.1 ml of test material extract into the eye. The contralateral eye served as the untreated control for each rabbit.

# **Observation and Grading**

The eyes are examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours after treatment. At these intervals the extent and degree of irritation were scored.

#### **Data Reporting and Evaluation**

The general technique of evaluation and scoring follows recommendations of J.H. Draize, G. Woodard, and H.O. Calvery, Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 82, pg. 377 (1944) and Sec. 191, 12 of the Federal Hazardous Substances Labeling Act Regulations Guide for Grading Eye Irritation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Albino rabbit and ocular route of administration used in this study in accordance with federal standards.

# II. Results and Conclusion<sup>2</sup>

Individual eye irritation scores and other findings are presented in Table 2.

Instillation of the sample extract into the eyes of rabbits produced minimal irritation in two of the six test animals. In accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines, The Magic Touch test material identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals would not be considered an eye irritant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Raw data will be stored in the archives of Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc.

# TABLE 1 SCALE FOR SCORING ON OCULAR LESIONS

0
200
2*
3*
0
1*
2*
0
1
2*
3*
0
1
2*
3*
4*

<sup>\*</sup> Starred figure denotes positive irritation in accordance with FHSA/CPSC Regulations.

# TABLE 2

CLIENT:

The Magic Touch

SAMPLE:

Tattoo 2.1 Decals

**SAMPLE PREPARATION:** 

The test material was cut into 1" squares. 10 grams of test article and 10 grams of distilled water were placed into an extraction flask and heated for 24 hours @ 100°F in a constant temperature oven. The liquid was cooled and used for dosing.

# **RABBIT NUMBER 693**

HOUDS ASTED TO A TAKENT	0.4	40	70
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	1	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0
RABBIT NUMBER 694			
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	1	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0
RABBIT NUMBER 695			
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	0	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0
RABBIT NUMBER 696			
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	0	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0
RABBIT NUMBER 697			
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	1	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0
RABBIT NUMBER 698			
HOURS AFTER TREATMENT	24	48	72
CORNEA	0	0	0
IRIS	0	0	0
ERYTHEMA	0	0	0
CHEMOSIS	0	0	0

## TM STUDY No. 09-030-2

## CONDUCTED FOR:

The Magic Touch Benzstrasse 17 64807 Dieburg Germany

BY:

Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc. 33 West Chicago Avenue Oak Park, IL 60302

## STUDY PERFORMED:

FHSA/CPSC Design, 16 CFR 1500 Primary Dermal Irritation

COMPOUND:

Tattoo 2.1 Decals

Final Report Date:

April 20, 2009

Study Director: 4(20)

Michael Kukulinski, B.S., L.A.T.G. Date

Quality Assurance Unit:

Robert F. Locke, M.S., L.A.T.G.

41-0109 Date

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
QAU :	STATEMENT	3
SUMN	MARY	4
1.	Method	5
II.	Results and Conclusion	6
	Table 1: Evaluation of Dermal Reaction	7
	Table 2: Individual Scores and Score Calculations	8

Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc. 33 West Chicago Avenue Oak Park, Illinois 60302

## FINAL REPORT - QAU STATEMENT

# STUDY TITLE: FHSA/CPSC PRIMARY DERMAL IRRITATION STUDY

The Quality Assurance Unit monitored the testing and reporting of this study. The Quality Assurance Unit reviewed protocols and inspected the data to assure the accuracy and integrity of the study. All reviews of data were reported to the study director and all data from this study will be returned and stored at the testing facility.

FINAL REPORT QAU AUDIT COMPLETED: April 20, 2009

A Lohe	4120169
Robert F. Locke, M.S., L.A.T.G.	Date
QAU Monitor	
uf kun	4/20/09
Michael Kukulinski, B.S., L.A.T.G.	Date
Study Director	

FINAL REPORT TM STUDY No. 09-030-2 PAGE 4 OF 8 PAGES

# SUMMARY

The Magic Touch sample identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals was tested for primary dermal irritation in accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines.

The Magic Touch sample was moistened and applied directly to unabraded and abraded skin sites on a clipped area of each of six albino rabbits. The test sites were occluded and the test material remained on the skin for a 24 hour contact period.

The application sites were graded for indications of skin reactions at 24 and 72 hours after sample application.

The primary dermal irritation score was 0.08, indicating that The Magic Touch sample identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals is not a primary dermal irritant in accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines.

#### I. Method

## **Test Material**

The test material was identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals.

### **Animals and Husbandry**

New Zealand White Rabbits¹ approximately ten to twelve weeks old were used for this study. The rabbits were obtained from Kuiper Rabbitry, Gary, Indiana. The rabbits were individually identified by ear tags. The rabbits were individually housed in stainless steel cages in a temperature, humidity, and light controlled room. The rabbits were maintained according to the recommendations contained in the National Academy Press 1996 "Guide for the Care and use of Laboratory Animals". They were conditioned for at least four days prior to study initiation. Purina Rabbit Chow and water were available ad libitum. All animals used for this study were considered to be in good health at study initiation.

## **Compound Administration**

The day before study initiation electric clippers were used to remove the hair from the abdomen and the sides of six rabbits. Two sites, one unabraded skin and one abraded skin, approximately 1" square each were designated for application of test material. Two temporary tattoo decals were applied to the skin, adhesive side down, and moistened with water to remove the paper backing. The entire site was covered with a 4 mil plastic wrap and secured with adhesive tape. At the end of the 24 hour contact period, excess material was removed from the sites.

#### **Clinical Observations**

Dermal irritation observations were performed at 24 and 72 hours after the test material was applied to the sites. Grading and scoring of irritation were performed in accordance with the Draize Scoring System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Albino rabbit and dermal route of administration used in this study in accordance with Federal Standards.

# II. Results and Conclusion<sup>2</sup>

Primary dermal irritation score calculations are presented in Table 2.

The primary dermal irritation score was calculated to be 0.08 indicating that The Magic Touch sample identified as Tattoo 2.1 Decals is not a primary dermal irritant in accordance with FHSA/CPSC Guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Raw data will be stored in the archives of Tox Monitor Laboratories, Inc.

# TABLE 1

**CLIENT:** 

The Magic Touch

SAMPLE:

Tattoo 2.1 Decals

**SAMPLE PREPARATION:** 

Tattoo decal was applied directly to the skin, adhesive side down, and the paper backing was moistened with water and

removed.

# PRIMARY DERMAL IRRITATION

# 24 HOURS

# **72 HOURS**

	UNABR	RADED	ABR	RADED	UNABR	RADED	ABRA	DED
RABBIT NUMBER	ER	ED	ER	ED	ER	ED	ER	ED
693	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
694	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
695	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
696	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
697	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
698	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVERAGE	0	0	0.33	0	0	0	0	0

PRIMARY DERMAL IRRITATION SCORE: 0.08

ER = Erythema

ED = Edema

## TABLE 2

## **EVALUATION OF DERMAL REACTIONS**

A. ERYTHEMA AND EDEMA FORMATION:	
Very slight erythema (barely perceptible)	1
Well-defined erythema	2
Moderate to severe erythema	3
Severe erythema (beet redness) to slight eschar formation (injuries in depth)	4

B. EDEMA FORMATION:	
Very slight edema (barely perceptible)	1
Slight edema (edges of area will be defined by definite raising)	2
Moderate edema (area raised appox 1 mm)	3
Severe edema (raised more than 1 mm and extending beyond are of	
exposure)	4

<sup>\*</sup> The value recorded for each reading is the average value of the animals subject to the test.

FHSA/CPSC Regulations: A primary skin irritant is a substance which results in an empirical primary irritation score of 5 or more.

# PRIMARY DERMAL IRRITATION DESCRIPTIVE RATING

Mean Primary Irritation Score (values)	Descriptive Rating
0	Non-Irritating
0.1 - 0.5	Minimally Irritating
0.6 - 1.5	Slightly Irritating
1.6 - 3.0	Mildly Irritating
3.1 - 5.0	Moderately Irritating
5.1 - 6.5	Severely Irritating
6.6 - 8.0	Extremely Irritating

#### REFERENCE

Draize, J.H., "Dermal Toxicity". Appraisal of the safety of Chemicals in Foods, Drugs and Cosmetics - Dermal Toxicity, Assoc. of Food and Drug Officials of the U.S., Topeka, Kansas, 1975 pp 46-59.